# SYMMETRY PROPERTIES OF THE EFFECTIVE DIPOLE MOMENT OPERATOR AND THE HERMAN-WALLIS FACTOR FOR SYMMETRIC-TOP MOLECULES

# E.L. Lobodenko and V.I. Perevalov

Institute of Atmospheric Optics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences Received May 12, 1998

The symmetry properties of the parameters of the effective dipole moment expressed as a series of vibrational and rotational ladder operators have been investigated for the case of symmetric-top molecules. It is shown that the expression for the Herman-Wallis factor obtained by Watson [J. Mol. Spectrosc. 153, 211 (1992)] for fundamental bands of symmetric top molecules could be used for overtone, combination, and difference bands in the case of no accidental resonance and if the  $\ell$ -type interaction is negligibly small.

## INTRODUCTION

The expression for the line strengths for both parallel and perpendicular bands in the absence of accidental resonance and if the  $\ell$ -type interaction is negligibly small can be written as

$$W_{\Delta J \Delta K}(J, K) = L_{\Delta J \Delta K}(J, K) F_{\Delta I}^{\Delta K}(J, K), \qquad (1)$$

where  $L_{\Delta J \Delta K}(J,K)$  is the line strength in the rigid top approximation, the so-called Henl-London factor; whereas  $F_{\Delta J}^{\Delta K}(J,K)$  is the correcting Herman-Wallis factor accounting for rovibrational interaction. Watson has shown that the factor written in the form

$$\begin{split} F_{\Delta J}^{\Delta K}(J,K) &= \left\{ 1 + C^{J} m_{J} + C^{K} m_{K} + \right. \\ &+ \left. C^{JJ(Q)} \left[ \overline{J(J+1)} - m_{J}^{2} \right] + C^{JJ(PR)} m_{J}^{2} + \right. \\ &+ \left. C^{KK} \overline{K}^{2} + C^{JK} m_{J} m_{K} \right\}^{2}, \end{split} \tag{2}$$

where  $m_J = \frac{1}{2} \big[ J'(J'+1) - J(J+1) \big]$ ,  $\overline{J(J+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \big[ J'(J'+1) + J(J+1) \big]$ ;  $m_K = \frac{1}{2} \big[ k'^2 - k^2 \big]$ ;  $\overline{K^2} = \frac{1}{2} \big[ k'^2 + k^2 \big]$ , where  $C^J$ ,  $C^K$ ,  $C^{JJ(Q)}$ ,  $C^{JJ(PR)}$ ,  $C^{KK}$  and  $C^{JK}$  are the coefficients dependent on the force field and the dipole moment function, is applicable for linear molecules, 1,2 as well as for fundamental bands of symmetric-top molecules.<sup>3</sup>

The purpose of the present work is to show that the Herman-Wallis factor for overtone, combination, and difference bands of symmetric-top molecules can be written in a similar manner in the case when there is no accidental resonance and the  $\ell$ -type interaction is negligibly small. With this aim in mind, the series of the effective dipole moment operator is written in the most general form in terms of elementary vibrational

and rotational ladder operators, and the symmetry properties of the series coefficients are investigated.

#### EFFECTIVE DIPOLE MOMENT OPERATOR

The line strength corresponding to the transition between the lower n and upper m states is determined as a square matrix element of the dipole moment operator  $M_Z$  in the space-fixed coordinate system

$$W_{m \leftarrow n} = 3 \sum_{MM'} \left| \langle mM' | M_Z | nM \rangle \right|^2. \tag{3}$$

Here the summation is over the magnetic quantum numbers of the lower M and upper M' rovibrational states, whereas n and m symbolize other quantum numbers. In practice, the method of effective operators<sup>4</sup> is most frequently used for calculation of the matrix elements. In the context of this method, the line strength can be calculated using the following expression:

$$W_{m \leftarrow n} = 3 \sum_{MM'} \left| \langle \Psi_{mM'}^{\text{ef}} \left| M_Z^{\text{ef}} \right| \Psi_{nM}^{\text{ef}} \rangle \right|^2, \tag{4}$$

where  $\Psi_{nM}^{\text{ef}}$  are the eigenfunctions of the effective Hamiltonian  $H^{\text{ef}}$ :

$$H^{\text{ef}}\Psi_{nM}^{\text{ef}} = E_n \Psi_{nM}^{\text{ef}} . \tag{5}$$

The effective Hamiltonian is derived from the rovibrational Hamiltonian  $H_{VR}$ , for example, by the method of contact transformations<sup>4,5</sup>:

$$H^{\text{ef}} = e^{iS_{\text{ct}}} H_{VR} e^{-iS_{\text{ct}}}, \qquad (6)$$

and, as a consequence, the effective dipole moment

operator can be derived from the molecular dipole moment operator  $M_Z$  by the same contact transformations:

$$M_Z^{\text{ef}} = e^{iS_{\text{ct}}} M_Z e^{-iS_{\text{ct}}}. \tag{7}$$

The effective dipole moment operator is the function of vibrational and rotational operators.

Prior to presenting the effective dipole moment operator in the general case, let us choose the moleculefixed coordinate system and introduce the elementary vibrational and rotational operators. Let us first consider molecules of the  $C_{NV}$   $(N \ge 3)$  and  $D_{Nd}$  $(N \ge 2)$  symmetry and then generalize the results to other symmetry groups of the symmetric-top molecules. The above-listed symmetry groups have two generating elements each: rotation  $C_N^1$  by the angle  $2\pi/N$  about the N-fold axis (rotation-reflection by the angle  $\pi/N$ about the rotation-reflection 2N-fold axis) and reflection in the symmetry plane  $\sigma_{xz}$ . Let us choose the molecule-fixed coordinate system in such a way that the z-axis coincides with the principal symmetry axis of the molecule, and the symmetry plane  $\sigma_{xz}$  lies in the coordinate plane xz. Let the bases of the irreducible two-dimensional representations  $E_q$  (  $q=1,\ldots,\lfloor \lfloor N/2 \rfloor \rfloor$ for the  $C_{NV}$  groups and q = 1, ..., N-1 for the  $D_{Nd}$ groups) be chosen in such a manner that the matrices corresponding to the generating elements have the form

$$C_N^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{2\pi}{N}q & -\sin\frac{2\pi}{N}q \\ \sin\frac{2\pi}{N}q & \cos\frac{2\pi}{N}q \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \sigma_{xz} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (8)

for the  $C_{NV}$  symmetry groups, and

$$S_{2N}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{N} q & -\sin \frac{\pi}{N} q \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{N} q & \cos \frac{\pi}{N} q \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \sigma_{xz} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (9)

for the  $D_{Nd}$  symmetry groups. The normal coordinates  $Q_{tqa}$  and  $Q_{tqb}$  of the degenerate vibration  $t_q$  of the  $E_q$  symmetry are oriented along the x and y axes, respectively. Note that both the  $C_{3V}$  and  $D_{2d}$  symmetry groups have one two-dimensional representation  $E \equiv E_1$ . The above-used designation [N/2] is for the integer part of N/2.

The ladder vibrational operators  ${}^{tq}A_{\tau}^{\pm}$  for the degenerate vibration  $t_q$  of the  $E_q$  symmetry are defined by the expressions:

$${}^{tq}A_{\pm}^{+}=a_{t_{q}a}^{+}\pm ia_{t_{q}b}^{+}\,, \tag{10}$$

$${}^{tq}A_{\pm}^{-} = a_{t_q a} \pm i a_{t_q b}, \tag{11}$$

where  $a_{t_q\alpha}^+$  and  $a_{t_q\alpha}$  ( $\alpha=a,b$ ) are the operators of creation and annihilation of vibrational quanta with a frequency  $\omega_{t_q}$ . With the appropriate phase choice of the wave functions (see Ref. 6) an action of the ladder operators on the wave functions of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator is described by the following relations:

$$A_{+}^{+} \mid V \mid \ell \rangle = \mp \sqrt{V \pm \ell + 2} \mid V + 1 \mid \ell \pm 1 \rangle,$$
 (12)

$$A_{+}^{-}|V \ell> = \pm \sqrt{V \mp \ell} |V - 1 \ell \pm 1>.$$
 (13)

The subscript  $t_q$  is omitted here for the sake of simplicity.

The ladder operators of the angular momentum components are introduced as

$$J_{\pm} = J_{\mathcal{X}} \mp i J_{\mathcal{Y}}. \tag{14}$$

With the Shortli-Condon phase choice, action of these ladder operators on the eigenfunctions  $|JK\rangle$  of the rigid symmetric top is defined as follows:

$$J_{\pm}|JK> = \sqrt{(J \mp K)(J \pm K + 1)}|JK \pm 1>;$$
 (15)

$$J_z | JK \rangle = K | JK \rangle. \tag{16}$$

Let us turn to the ladder operators

$$\lambda_{+} = \lambda_{Z}^{x} - i \lambda_{Z}^{y},$$

$$\lambda_{-} = \lambda_{Z}^{x} + i \lambda_{Z}^{y},$$

$$\lambda_{0} = \lambda_{Z}^{z}$$
(17)

of the direction cosines  $\lambda_z^\alpha$  connecting the molecule-fixed coordinate system with the space-fixed one. Note that the matrix elements of the direction cosines  $\lambda_+$ ,  $\lambda_-$ , and  $\lambda_0$  in the basis of eigenfunctions of the rigid symmetric top are nonzero only for  $\Delta K=1$ , -1, and 0, respectively.

In the case of symmetric-top molecules, using the above-introduced elementary vibrational and rotational operators, the effective dipole moment operator in the explicitly Hermitian form and in the most general case can be presented as

$$M_Z^{\rm ef} = \sum_{\substack{\text{over}\\\text{all indices}}} \left\{ M_\tau^{...\{mnk\ell\}_{t_q} ... \{de\}_s ... \ gfh} \right. \times$$

$$\times \prod_{t_{q}} (^{t_{q}}A_{+}^{+})^{m} (^{t_{q}}A_{-}^{+})^{n} (^{t_{q}}A_{-}^{-})^{k} (^{t_{q}}A_{+}^{-})^{\ell} \times$$

$$\times \prod_{s} (a_{s}^{+})^{d} (a_{s})^{e} \Phi_{\tau}^{gfh} + (M_{\tau}^{\dots \{mnk\ell\}_{t_{q}} \dots \{de\}_{s} \dots gfh})^{*} \times$$

$$\times \prod_{t_{q}} (^{t_{q}}A_{+}^{+})^{k} (^{t_{q}}A_{-}^{+})^{\ell} (^{t_{q}}A_{-}^{-})^{m} (^{t_{q}}A_{+}^{-})^{n} \times$$

$$\times \prod_{s} (a_{s}^{+})^{e} (a_{s})^{d} (\Phi_{\tau}^{gfh})^{+} \},$$

$$(18)$$

where  $\tau = 1, -1, 0$ ;

$$\Phi_{1}^{gfh} = J^{2g} \left[ \lambda_{+}, J_{+}^{f} (2J_{z} + f)^{h} \right]_{+};$$

$$\Phi_{-1}^{gfh} = J^{2g} \left[ \lambda_{+}, (2J_{z} + f)^{h} J_{-}^{f} \right]_{+} (f > 0);$$

$$\Phi_{0}^{gfh} = J^{2g} \left[ \lambda_{0}, J_{+}^{f} (2J_{z} + f)^{h} \right]_{+}.$$
(19)

The subscripts  $t_q$  and s are used in Eq. (18) for numbering the degenerate and non-degenerate

vibrations, respectively. The signs  $(...)^*$  and  $(...)^+$  are used for complex and Hermitian conjugation.

#### SYMMETRY PROPERTIES OF THE PARAMETERS

The dipole moment operator and, consequently, the effective dipole moment operator are the real ones. Hence, the effective dipole moment operator must be invariant with respect to time reversal operation, which consists of sign alteration of time and the complex conjugation of its coefficients. This requirement imposes the condition upon the parameters of the effective dipole moment

$$(M_{\tau}^{\dots\{mnk\ell\}_{t_q} \dots \{de\}_s \dots gfh})^* =$$

$$= (-1)^{f+h} (M_{\tau}^{\dots \{\ell knm\}_{t_q} \dots \{ed\}_s \dots gfh})^*,$$
(20)

which can be derived with the use of the transformation properties of the elementary vibrational and rotational operators presented in the Table I.

TABLE I. Transformation properties of the elementary operators.

Operator	Hermitian conjugation	Time reversal	$C_N^1$ rotation	$S_{2N}^1$ mirror rotation	Reflection in the $\sigma_{xz}$ plane
$^{tq}A^{\pm}_{\overline{ au}}$	$^{tq}A^{\mp}_{- au}$	$^{tq}A_{- au}^{\pm}$	$\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}q\tau t_q}A_{\tau}^{\pm}$	$\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi i}{N}q\tau t_q}A_{\tau}^{\pm}$	$^{tq}A_{- au}^{\pm}$
$a_{A_1}^{\pm}$	$a_{A_1}^{\mp}$	$a_{A_1}^{\pm}$	$a_{A_1}^{\pm}$	$a_{A_1}^{\pm}$	$a_{A_1}^{\pm}$
$a_{A2}^{\pm}$	$a_{A2}^{\mp}$	$a_{A2}^{\pm}$	$a_{A2}^{\pm}$	$a_{A2}^{\pm}$	$-a_{A2}^{\pm}$
$a_{B_1}^{\pm}$	$a_{B_1}^{\overline{+}}$	$a_{B_1}^{\pm}$	$-a_{B_1}^{\pm}$	$-a_{B_1}^{\pm}$	$a_{B_1}^{\pm}$
$a_{B2}^{\pm}$	$a_{B_2}^{\mp}$	$a_{B2}^{\pm}$	$-a_{B2}^{\pm}$	$-a_{B2}^{\pm}$	$-a_{B2}^{\pm}$
$J_{ au}$	$J_{- au}$	$-J_{-\tau}$	$\mathrm{e}^{+rac{2\pi i}{N} au}J_{ au}$	$-\operatorname{e}^{+}rac{\pi i}{N}{}^{ au}J_{ au}$	$-J_{- au}$
$J_z$	${J}_z$	$-J_z$	${J}_z$	$J_z$	$-J_z$
$\lambda_{ au}$	$\lambda_{- au}$	$\lambda_{- au}$	$e^{+\frac{2\pi i}{N}\tau}\lambda_{\tau}$	$-\operatorname{e}^{+rac{\pi i}{N} au}\lambda_{ au}$	$-\lambda_{- au}$
$\lambda_z$	$\lambda_z$	$\lambda_z$	$\lambda_z$	$\lambda_z$	$-\lambda_z$

If the molecular symmetry group has the generating element  $\sigma_{xz}$ , then this results in the additional condition on the effective dipole moment parameters. According to the Hougen (Ref. 7) and Longuet-Higgins (Ref. 8) scheme, reflection in the  $\sigma_{xz}$  plane is equivalent a certain permutation of the identical nuclei followed by the space inversion at the origin of the coordinates ( $P^*$ ). Hence it follows that the effective dipole moment operator must change the sign under the action of this operation:

$$\sigma_{xz}M_{Z}^{\text{ef}} = -M_{Z}^{\text{ef}}. \tag{21}$$

Let us first assume that all one-dimensional normal vibrations in a molecule are fully symmetric with respect to the reflection in a plane. Then, taking into account the transformation properties of the elementary vibrational and rotational operators presented in the Table I, the requirement (21) leads to the following condition for the parameters of the effective dipole moment operator:

$$(M_{\tau}^{\dots\{mnk\ell\}_{t_q}\dots\{de\}_s\dots gfh})^* =$$

$$= (-1)^{f+h} (M_{\tau}^{\dots \{\ell knm\}_{tq} \dots \{ed\}_s \dots gfh}). \tag{22}$$

Comparing the expressions (20) and (22), we can find

$$M_{\tau}^{\dots\{\ell knm\}_{t_q}\dots\{ed\}_{s}\dots gfh} = (M_{\tau}^{\dots\{\ell knm\}_{t_q}\dots\{ed\}_{s}\dots gfh})^*, (23)$$

that means that the parameters are real. It can be shown that if a molecule has the one-dimensional normal vibrations antisymmetric with respect to  $\sigma_{xz}$ , then the parameters of the effective dipole moment operator are real for the terms with the even total power of the elementary vibrational operators antisymmetric with respect to  $\sigma_{xz}$  and these parameters are imaginary otherwise.

Let us investigate the behavior of the effective dipole moment operator with respect to the  $C_N^1$  rotation. In the Hougen<sup>7</sup> and Longuet-Higgins<sup>8</sup> scheme, this transformation is equivalent to the pure permutation of the identical nuclei (P). The effective dipole moment operator must be invariant with respect to the permutation of the identical nuclei, hence

$$C_N^1 M_Z^{\text{ef}} = M_Z^{\text{ef}} \,. \tag{24}$$

Let consider an arbitrary term in Eq. (18) and suppose for simplicity that the subscript s is only for vibrations, which are antisymmetric with respect to the  $C_N^1$  operation, i.e. for the B-type vibrations. Then, according to the transformation properties of the elementary vibrational and rotational operators (see the Table), under the action of the  $C_N^1$  rotation, this term is multiplied by the factor

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{N}\left\{\sum_{t_q}q[(m+\ell)_{t_q}-(n+k)_{t_q}]\right\}-$$

$$-r + \frac{N}{2} \sum_{s} (d - e)_{s} \bigg\} \bigg], \tag{25}$$

where

$$r = 1 + f$$
 at  $\tau = 1$ ,  
 $r = 1 - f$  at  $\tau = -1$ , (26)  
 $r = f$  at  $\tau = 0$ .

In order to fulfil the relation (24), the expression in parenthesis in Eq. (25) must be a multiple of  $2\pi i$ . This requirement leads to the condition

$$\sum_{t_q} q[(m+\ell)_{t_q} - (n+k)_{t_q}] - r = N(p - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s} (d-e)_{s}),$$

$$p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$
 (27)

whence, with regard for Eqs. (12), (13), (15), and (16) and the comments following Eq. (17), we obtain the selection rules for the matrix elements of the effective dipole moment operator

$$\sum_{t_q} q \ \Delta \ell_{t_q} - \Delta K = N \left( p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s} \Delta V_s \right),$$

$$p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$
(28)

where  $V_s$  are the quantum numbers of the nondegenerate B-type vibrations.

If a symmetry group has the  $S^1_{2N}$  rotation-reflection as the generating element instead of the  $C^1_N$  rotation, then Eqs. (27) and (28) are somewhat modified. Indeed, in the Hougen<sup>7</sup> and Longuet-Higgins<sup>8</sup> scheme, the rotation-reflection  $S^1_{2N}$  corresponds to some permutation with inversion  $P^*$ . Hence, the effective dipole moment operator must be antisymmetric with respect to the rotation-reflection

$$S_{2N}^1 M_Z^{\text{ef}} = -M_Z^{\text{ef}}$$
 (29)

Performing the considerations analogous to the previous ones, i.e. accounting for Eq. (29) and the transformation properties of the elementary vibrational and rotational operators with respect to the  $S_{2N}^1$  operation (see the Table), we obtain the following condition on the powers of the operators belonging to an arbitrary term in Eq. (18):

$$\sum_{t_q} q \left[ \left( m + \ell \right)_{t_q} - \left( n + k \right)_{t_q} \right] - r = 2N \left( p - \frac{1}{2} \left[ r + \sum_s \left( d - e \right)_s \right] \right), \tag{30}$$

where  $p=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots$  is an arbitrary integer number; r is given by Eq. (26); and the subscript s is used only for vibrations antisymmetric with respect to  $S^1_{2N}$ , i.e. for the B-type vibrations. The following selection rules for the matrix elements of the effective dipole moment operator result from Eq. (30) for the symmetry groups having the rotation-reflection operation  $S^1_{2N}$  as the generating element:

$$\sum_{t_q} q \ \Delta \ell_{t_q} - \Delta K = 2N \left\{ p - \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta K + \sum_{s} \Delta V_s \right) \right\}, \tag{31}$$

where  $p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, V_s$  are the quantum numbers

of nondegenerate *B*-type vibrations. Note that the selection rules (28) and (31) were discussed in Ref. 9 by Papouŝek, however, our expression (31) differs from the analogous one presented in Ref. 9. The selection rules analogous to those presented by Eqs. (28) and (31), but for the matrix elements of the effective Hamiltonian, are derived in Ref. 10.

The results presented in this Section for the symmetry groups  $C_{NV}$  and  $D_{Nd}$  can be easily generalized to the  $C_N$  and  $S_{2N}$  symmetry groups, respectively. Since the latter ones have only one generating element  $C_N^1$  (or  $S_{2N}^1$ ), the parameters of the effective dipole moment given by Eq. (18), are complex values, and Eqs. (27) and (28) (or Eqs. (30) and (31) for the  $S_{2N}$  group) remain valid.

All the results obtained for the  $C_{NV}$  and  $C_N$ symmetry groups automatically could be applied to the  $D_{Nh}$  and  $C_{Nh}$  symmetry groups, respectively. For the latter ones it is necessary only to take into account the additional selection rules connected with the additional generating element  $\sigma_h$  (reflection in the plane perpendicular to the principal axis) or I (inversion). that the symmetry group assume consideration has an inversion. Then, taking into account the fact that the effective dipole moment operator changes the sign under the action of this operation, whereas all rotational operators are invariant with respect to it, we arrive to the condition: the total power of the vibrational operators of the  $\langle u \rangle$  symmetry in Eq. (18) must be odd. Since the effective dipole moment operator likewise changes the sign under the action of the  $\sigma_h$  operation, and the rotational operators transform as

$$\sigma_h J_z = J_z, \ \sigma_h J_\tau = -J_\tau, \ (\tau = +, -),$$

$$\sigma_h \lambda_z = \lambda_z, \ \sigma_h \lambda_\tau = -\lambda_\tau, \ (\tau = +, -),$$
(32)

we obtain the following condition for the  $D_{Nh}$  and  $C_{Nh}$  symmetry groups having no inversion: the total even power of the ladder operators  $J_{\tau}$  and  $\lambda_{\tau}$  in Eq. (18) must correspond to the total odd power of the vibrational operators of the « " » symmetry, and, quite the reverse, the total odd power of the ladder operators must correspond to the total even power of the vibrational operators of the « " » symmetry.

Due to isomorphism of the  $C_{NV}$  and  $D_N$  groups, all the results obtained for the  $C_{NV}$  group automatically could be applied to the  $D_N$  group provided that the molecule-fixed coordinate systems are chosen properly. The full correspondence is achieved by orientation of the y-axis of the molecule-fixed coordinate system along the two-fold U axis of the  $D_N$  group provided that in the case of the  $C_{NV}$  group the symmetry plane lies in the coordinate plane xz.

#### HERMAN-WALLIS FACTOR

As noted above, the Herman–Wallis factor is introduced to account for rovibrational interactions in the intensities calculations of the "allowed" transitions in the rigid top approximation. In this approximation, the rotational part of the  $M_Z^{\rm ef}$  operator consists of the direction cosines only, that results in the selection rules  $\Delta K = 0$ ,  $\pm 1$ . Therefore, the terms in Eq. (18) contributing into intensities of the abovementioned transitions must satisfy the following condition:

$$r=0, \pm 1$$
,

where r is introduced by Eq. (26).

Owing to Eq. (27) (or Eq. (30) for the molecules of the  $S_{2N}$  and  $D_{Nd}$  symmetry), these terms for a certain  $\Delta K$  (in other words, for a certain r) and a certain band have the same vibrational operator, which is independent of the  $\tau$  subscript of the rotational operators  $M_{\tau}^{gfh}$ . This allows us to introduce the Herman-Wallis factor independent of vibrational quantum numbers for any "allowed" band. Another consequence of the relationship (27) (or Eq. (30)) is that a set of rotational operators (19) forming the Herman-Wallis factor for an "allowed" parallel  $(\Delta K = 0)$  or perpendicular  $(\Delta K = \pm 1)$  band does not depend on whether this band is fundamental, overtone, or difference. Therefore, the expression for the Herman-Wallis factor obtained in Ref. 3 fundamental bands automatically could be applied to other bands of the above-listed types. Certainly, each band has its own values of the parameters of the Herman-Wallis factor.

# CONCLUSION

In the present paper, the effective dipole moment operator for the symmetric-top molecules derived within the framework of the conventional formulation of the method of contact transformations is written in the general case in terms of the rising and lowering ladder vibrational and rotational operators. The symmetry properties of its parameters are established. The selection rules for the matrix elements of this operator in the basis of the eigenfunctions of harmonic oscillators and the rigid symmetric top rotor are presented. These results are sufficient to allow the conclusion that the Herman-Wallis factor in the form obtained by Watson in Ref. 3 for the fundamental bands of molecules under consideration is applicable for overtone, combination, and difference bands in the case when there are no accidental resonances and the  $\ell$ -type interactions are negligibly small.

## REFERENCES

- 1. J.K.G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **125**, 428–441 (1987).
- 2. J.K.G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **132**, 483–491 (1988).
- 3. J.K.G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **153**, 211–224 (1992).
- 4. M.R. Aliev and J.K.G. Watson, in: *Molecular Spectroscopy: Modern Research* (Academic Press, Orlando, Florida, 1985), Vol. III, pp. 1–67.
- 5. Yu.S. Makushkin and Vl.G. Tyuterev, *Perturbation Methods and Effective Hamiltonians in Molecular Spectroscopy* (Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1984), 240 pp.
- 6. V.I. Perevalov, O.N. Sulakshina, and J.-L. Teffo, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **155**, 433–435 (1992).
- 7. J.T. Hougen, J. Chem. Phys. 37, 1433 (1962).
- 8. H.C. Longuet-Higgins, Mol. Phys. **6**, 445–460 (1963).
- 9. D. Papousek, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. **54**, 2555–2629 (1989).
- 10. G. Amat, Comtes Rendus 250, 1439 (1960).