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The problem of choosing the optimal orthonormalized basis functions for the reconstruction of atmospheric aberrations from measurements is studied. A system of polynomials that makes it possible to decompose the aberrations for annular receiving apertures is proposed. It is shown that for a circular aperture the error in reproducing the wavefront with these polynomials is approximately $10 \%$ smaller than that obtained with Zernike polynomials.

The methods of adaptive optics are used to make laser systems operating under conditions of atmospheric distortions more efficient. ${ }^{1}$ The Measurement of the distorted wavefront and subsequent correction of the wavefront make it possible to reduce substantially the jitter, scintillation, and spreading of optical beams and images. ${ }^{2}$

To describe the wave distortions of light fields on atmospheric paths the light fields are usually expanded in modes in a system of basis functions. Theoretically the most accurate representation is obtained using the Karunen-Love functions, whose expansion coefficients are uncorrelated. ${ }^{3}$ The choice of basis functions for the problem of wavefront reconstruction from measurements is discussed in Ref. 4. In practical applications, because the Karunen-Love functions are given in a tabular form and are difficult to calculate, these functions are replaced by orthonormalized polynomials. ${ }^{5}$ Thus, for example, for circular apertures the Zernike polynomials are usually employed. At the same time, the use of Zernike polynomials for receiving apertures of a different form is not always desirable because the conditions of orthonormality are not satisfied and the expansion coefficients are more strongly correlated. We shall study the problem of constructing basis functions in a class of polynomials for such cases.

We expand the phase profile $\varphi(r)$ of the arriving light field in a system of basis functions $G_{i}(r)$ with the expansion coefficients $\beta_{i}(i=\overline{1, n})$. The quality of the optical system in the presence of atmospheric aberrations is described by the Strehl number St: ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S t=\left|\int A(r) \mathrm{e}^{1 \Delta \varphi(r)} \mathrm{d}^{2} r\right|^{2} /\left|\int A(r) \mathrm{d}^{2} r\right|^{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(r)$ is the modulus of the complex amplitude of the light wave and $\Delta \varphi(r)$ is the residual spatial error of the approximation $\delta \varphi=\varphi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} G_{i}$.

Let $|\Delta \varphi| \ll 1$. In this case the expression (1) has a simple approximate representation:
$S t \simeq 1-\Delta, \quad \Delta=\frac{1}{S} \int A(r)[\Delta \varphi(r)]^{2} d^{2} r=\frac{1}{S}(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \varphi)$,
where $S=\int A(r) d^{2} r$ and the parentheses denote a scalar product of the enclosed functions with weight $A(r)$. From the expression obtained it follows that in problems of optimal wavefront reconstruction it is best to judge the strength of the residual aberrations according to the criterion $\Delta$, i.e., according to the weighted scalar square of the functions $\Delta \varphi(r)$.

We shall study the question of the choice of the optimal orthonormalized basis functions $G_{i}(r)\left(\frac{1}{S}\left(G_{i} G_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}\right)$ for reconstruction of atmospheric aberrations from measurements. We represent the expansion coefficients $\beta_{i}(i=\overline{1, n})$ as a linear superposition of the signals $\xi_{j}(j=\overline{1, m}, m \geq n)$ from the sensors of the measuring apparatus: $\beta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{i j} \xi_{j}$, where.$B_{i j}$ are constant coefficients, calculated from the condition that the average error $\langle\Delta\rangle$ be minimized:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{i j}\left\langle\xi_{j} \xi_{k}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{S}\left\langle\xi_{k}\left(\varphi, G_{1}\right)\right\rangle, i=\overline{1, n}, k=\overline{1, m} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the error $\langle\Delta\rangle$ is determined by the expression
$\langle\Delta\rangle=\frac{1}{S}\langle(\varphi, \varphi)\rangle-$
$-\frac{1}{S^{2}} \sum_{1=1}^{n} \iint A(r) A(\rho) L(r, \rho) G_{1}(r) G_{1}(\rho) d^{2} r d^{2} \rho$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(r, \rho)=\sum_{j=1}^{\mathbb{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{\mathbb{m}} c_{j k}\left\langle\xi_{j} \varphi(r)\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{k} \varphi(\rho)\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{j k}$ are elements of the matrix that is the inverse of the matrix with the elements $\left\langle\xi_{j} \xi_{k}\right\rangle$.

By analogy to the procedure used to obtain the Karunen-Love functions, ${ }^{3}$ we determine the functions $G_{i}(r)$ as the eigenfunctions of the integral equation
$\lambda_{1}^{2} G_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{S} \int A(\rho) L(r, \rho) G_{1}(\rho) \mathrm{d}^{2} \rho$,
where $\lambda_{i}^{2}$ are the eigenvalues.
It can be shown that in this case the following expressions are valid:
$\langle\Delta\rangle=\frac{1}{S}\langle(\varphi, \varphi)\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{1}^{2} ;$
$\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{j}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{S^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{1=1}^{m} c_{k 1}\left\langle\xi_{k}\left(\varphi, G_{1}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{1}\left(\varphi, G_{j}\right)\right\rangle=$
$=\lambda_{i}^{2} \delta_{i j}$
From Eq. (6) it is not difficult to see that the functions $G_{i}(r)$ will be polynomials if the kernel $L(r, \rho)$ is expanded in a series of spatial polynomials. If the measurements $\xi_{j}$ depend linearly on the phase of the profile, this is equivalent to polynomial approximation of the correlation function $\langle\varphi(r) \varphi(\rho)\rangle$.

Thus the problems of optimal approximation of atmospheric aberrations and the problems of wavefront reconstruction from measurements lead to essentially the same equations for the basis functions. Only the weighting functions are different.

We shall study the construction of the basis functions in problems of the polynomial expansion of atmospheric aberrations on circular and annular receiving apertures with a uniform distribution of the light intensity. We shall also assume that the phase distortions are measured perfectly over the entire aperture. In this case the expansion coefficients $\beta_{i}$ assume the simple form $\beta_{i}=\frac{1}{S}\left(\varphi, G_{i}\right)$, and Eq. (6) reduces to the following equation: ${ }^{3}$
$\lambda_{1}^{2} G_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{S} \int_{\Omega}\langle\varphi(r) \varphi(\rho)\rangle G_{1}(\rho) d^{2} \rho$,
where $\Omega$ is the region of the aperture with outer diameter $D$ and inner diameter $a D ; A(r)=1, r \in \Omega$; $A(r)=0, r \notin \Omega$.

In the expression (8) the spatial correlation function $\langle\varphi(r) \varphi(\rho)\rangle$ has a linear representation in terms of the structure function $D_{\varphi}(r-\rho):^{3}$
$\langle\varphi(r) \varphi(\rho)\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left[D_{\varphi}(r-\rho)+\right.$
$+\frac{1}{S^{2}} \iint_{\Omega} D_{\Omega} D_{\varphi}\left(r^{\prime}-\rho^{\prime}\right) d^{2} r^{\prime} d^{2} \rho^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\bar{S}} \int_{\Omega} D_{\varphi}\left(r^{\prime}-r\right) d^{2} r^{\prime}-$
$\left.-\frac{1}{S} \int_{\Omega} D_{\varphi}\left(\rho^{\prime}-\rho\right) \mathrm{d}^{2} \rho^{\prime}\right]$.
For the Kolmogorov model of atmospheric turbulence $D_{\varphi}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=6.88\left(r^{\prime} / r_{0}\right)^{5 / 3}$ where $r^{\prime}=|r-\rho|$ and $r_{0}$ is Fried's correlation radius. ${ }^{5}$

We shall obtain the first ten polynomials $G_{i}(r)$. For this we expand $D_{\varphi}(r)$ in the following form: ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\varphi}(r)=\left(\frac{D}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}\left[10.788\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)^{2}-10.795\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)^{4}+\right. \\
& \left.+11.620\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)^{4}-4.768\left[\frac{r}{D}\right]^{8}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case the solutions of the integral equation (8) will be circular polynomials: ${ }^{7}$
$G_{1}=1, G_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{3} c_{k j}\left(\frac{r}{D}\right)^{q+2 k}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cos q \theta \\ \sin q \theta\end{array}, j \geq 2\right.$,
where $q=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $C_{k j}$ are constant coefficients.
For them the integral equation (8) reduces to a matrix equation of dimension 4, and in addition all integrals can be calculated in terms of analytic functions. The coefficients $C_{k j}$ obtained are presented in Table I. The dependence of the quantities $\lambda_{j}^{2}$ on the parameter a is studied in Ref. 7.

TABLE $I$.

| 9 | $i$ | $a$ | $C_{01}$ | $C_{11}$ | $C_{21}$ | $C_{31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.3 | 0 | 2.255 | -0.543 | 0.276 | -0.082 |
|  |  | 0.25 | 2. 188 | -0.524 | 0.267 | -0.080 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 2.010 | -0.448 | 0.234 | -0.074 |
|  |  | 0.75 | 1.771 | -0.307 | 0.171 | -0.068 |
| 0 | 4 | 0 | -2.237 | 7. 105 | -5.082 | 1.513 |
|  |  | 0.25 | -2.688 | 8.069 | -5.874 | 1.836 |
|  |  | 0.5 | -4.453 | 11.642 | -8.949 | 3.288 |
|  |  | 0.75 | -7.750 | 16.274 | -13.649 | 6.971 |
| 2 | 5.6 | 0 | 5.024 | -4.791 | 1.605 |  |
|  |  | 0.25 | 4.867 | -4.642 | 1. 556 |  |
|  |  | 0.5 | 4.457 | -4.273 | 1.451 |  |
|  |  | 0.75 | 4.018 | -3.948 | 1.451 |  |
| 1 | 7.8 | $0$ | -7.439 | 16. 105 | -6. 302 | -0.183 |
|  |  | 0.25 | -7. 424 | 16.044 | -6.315 | -0.180 |
|  |  | 0.5 | -9.310 | 19.692 | -8. 169 | -0.202 |
|  |  | 0.75 | -25.521 | 52.554 | -24.824 | -0.449 |
| 3 | 9.10 | 0 | 5.342 | -3. 203 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.25 | 5. 173 | -3.101 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.5 | 4.660 | -2.801 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.75 | 4.057 | -2.511 |  |  |
| 0 | 11 | 0 | 1.192 | -4.913 | -5. 197 | 11.995 |
|  |  | 0.25 | 1.843 | -7.132 | -2.788 | 11.017 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 6. 136 | -20.247 | 8.646 | 7.935 |
|  |  | 0.75 | 46.925 | -129.729 | 96.088 | $-11.136$ |

We shall now compare the polynomials obtained with other functions employed for describing atmos-
pheric aberrations on circular receiving apertures ( $a=0$ ). The radial sections of the polynomials $G_{j}$ are shown in Fig. 1. The true Karunen-Love functions are presented in Ref. 3. They are virtually identical to the functions $G_{j}(j \leq 10)$. The calculations showed that using the first ten Karunen-Love functions reduces the error $\langle\Delta\rangle$ by an amount less than $1 \%$ of the analogous error for $G_{j}$. The Zernike polynomials describe atmospheric aberrations less accurately than the functions $G_{j}$. The ratios of the correlation coefficients $\left\langle\beta_{i} \beta_{j}\right\rangle$ for the polynomials $G_{k}$ and the Zernike polynomials $Z_{k}$ ( $k \leq 10$ ), preorthogonalized for annular apertures, ${ }^{7}$ are presented in Table II. One can see from the table that the polynomials $G_{k}(r)(k \leq 10)$ substantially (by an order of magnitude) reduce the correlation coefficients $\left\langle\beta_{2} \beta_{8}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\beta_{3} \beta_{7}\right\rangle$, and they reduce the average error of the approximation by approximately $10 \%$.


FIG. 1. The radial sections of the polynomials $G_{j}$.

TABLE II.

| $a$ | $\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{j}\right\rangle_{C} /\left\langle\beta_{1} \beta_{j}\right\rangle_{z}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\langle\Delta\rangle_{C}}{\langle\Delta\rangle_{z}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2-2, \\ & 3-3 \end{aligned}$ | 4-4 | $\begin{aligned} & 5-5 \\ & 6-6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9-9 \\ 10-10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2-8 \\ & 3-7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 0 | 1.0010 | 1.037 | 1.030 | 1.070 | 0.994 | -0.153 | 0.90 |
| 0.5 | 1.0007 | 1.016 | 1.023 | 1.059 | 0.955 | -0.086 | 0.92 |

In order to reduce further the quantities $\left\langle\beta_{2} \beta_{8}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\beta_{3} \beta_{7}\right\rangle$ and to increase the accuracy of the expansion additional terms must be included in the expression (10) ${ }^{6}$. There are no fundamental difficulties in doing this.
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